

BDAC ASSURANCES WORKGROUP
October Agenda Packet
Comments

Part II - Concerns

- II. That the water supply benefits derived from new facilities and program actions will be realized.
- V. That local economies will be protected from the significant adverse impacts of out of basis water transfers.
- X. That a schedule for implementation will be adopted and followed.

Part III - Needs Objectives

- I.C.5. Establishment and implementation of a long-term CALFED Ecosystem Health, monitoring and annual reporting program.
- II.D. That actions identified to be implemented in the solution to improve Delta-Tributary source watershed's water quality will be implemented.

Question:

- III.C. Mitigation required by who? When? In the CALFED EIR/EIS, in any necessary regulatory processes, or in CEQA compliance? Other?
- V.A. That new conveyance facilities identified in the solution will be permitted, funded and constructed.
- V.B. That the water supply benefit, in quantity and reliability, from conveyance improvements identified in the solution will be realized.
- V.C. That foreseeable changes in regulatory constraints will not impair or preclude conveyance improvements identified in the solution.

V.D. That conveyance improvements identified in the solution will not significantly impair existing water rights.

VI.A. That new storage projects identified in the solution will be permitted, funded and constructed.

VI.B. That the water supply benefits of new storage identified in the solution, in quantity and reliability, will be realized.

VI.C. That foreseeable changes in regulatory constraints will not impair storage improvements identified in the solution.

VI.D. That new storage facilities or new conjunctive use and banking programs identified in the solution will not significantly impair existing water rights.

VII.A.

That a revenue stream for the ecosystem restoration programs identified in the solution will be quantified and stable.

VII.B.

That the costs of the program as defined in the solution will be spread equitably and commensurate with the benefits received.

Part IV Process- Comment

III.F. As worded (in this example), this would appear to be a pre-CEQA judgment regarding the criteria for selecting an alternative. The least cost alternative, even with "assurances" may not necessarily equal the preferred project for various other reasons.

V.D. That conveyance improvements identified in the solution will not significantly impair existing water rights.

VI.A. That new storage projects identified in the solution will be permitted, funded and constructed.

VI.B. That the water supply benefits of new storage identified in the solution, in quantity and reliability, will be realized.

VI.C. That foreseeable changes in regulatory constraints will not impair storage improvements identified in the solution.

VI.D. That new storage facilities or new conjunctive use and banking programs identified in the solution will not significantly impair existing water rights.

VII.A.

That a revenue stream for the ecosystem restoration programs identified in the solution will be quantified and stable.

VII.B.

That the costs of the program as defined in the solution will be spread equitably and commensurate with the benefits received.

Part IV Process- Comment

III.F. As worded (in this example), this would appear to be a pre-CEQA judgment regarding the criteria for selecting an alternative. The least cost alternative, even with "assurances" may not necessarily equal the preferred project for various other reasons.

End